



WHY PROPERTY RIGHTS MATTER PROSPERITY - STABILITY - FREEDOM

By Tom DeWeese

There is an all out assault taking place in nearly every community against private property ownership. It's being perpetrated at every level of government and funded by taxpayer grants. Yet few property owners raise objections, mainly because today most don't have the basic understanding of the right of property ownership and its vital place in preserving our nation's prosperity, economic stability and foundation of freedom.

Most Americans tend to think of private property simply as a home -- the place where the family resides, store their belongings and find shelter and safety from the elements. It's where you live. It's yours because you pay the mortgage and the taxes. That's about the extent of thought given to property ownership in today's America.

There was a time when property ownership was considered to be much more. Property, and the ability to own and control it, was life itself. The great economist, John Locke, whose writings and ideas had major influence on the nation's founders, believed that "life and liberty are secure only so long as the right of property is secure."

Locke advocated that if property rights protection did not exist then the incentive

for an industrious person to develop and improve property would be destroyed; depriving that person of the fruits of his labor; that marauding bands would confiscate by force the goods produced by others; and that mankind would be impelled to remain on a bare subsistence level of hand to mouth survival from fear that the accumulation of anything of value would invite attack.

Homeownership, and the equity it creates, has been the main source of wealth for millions of Americans. It's the reason the United States was able to build incredible wealth and rise above much older nations. Sixty percent of American businesses were created by homeowners using the equity from their homes. Where private property is disallowed teeming and unrelenting poverty is the result.



TOM DEWEESE

IN THIS ISSUE

Front - Why Property Rights Matter

Page 5 - Do you want to shake up this election?

Page 6 - Candidate's Promise to Protect
Citizen's Property Rights

Page 7 - It's Not True!

Page 8 - Sustainable: The War On Free
Enterprise, Private Property and Individuals

Read our latest articles at
www.americanpolicy.org

Locke's fears have become reality today through the innocent sounding term called "Sustainable Development. Under that banner, the very concept of property rights is being targeted as unrealistic in a drive to reorganize our communities through strict planning regulations.

Proponents define Sustainable Development as: "*Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.*" According to its advocates, to achieve that goal requires massive amounts of land and natural resources to be permanently locked away from use; which translates to control, not conservation, as many perceive it to mean.

Sustainable Development requires a complete transformation of American society that will affect our system of justice, our economic system, and our ability to make individual life choices such as careers, family size, and the location of our homes.

The best known form of the Sustainable transformation is called Smart Growth. We're told this policy is necessary to create the community of the future, to guarantee effective planning, and, most importantly, to protect the environment by reducing our carbon footprint to combat climate change.

Attending a local public meeting where the community's new "visioning" plan is being promoted, citizens will be assured that everything has been prepared by local leaders simply to address unique problems and well-laid plan for the future. However, a little research will show, ironically, that almost every community in every state has a nearly identical plan in process, usually ending with numbers like 2030 or 2050. One can also search the Internet and find such plans as Jamaica 2050 and Dubai 2050. They cover the world and most importantly - they are all the same basic plan no matter where they are, nationally or globally. One thing they all have in common - none of them are LOCAL!

Across the United States, most of these plans are being implemented by the same associated planners, fueled by the same grant programs, and aided by the same non-governmental organizations (NGOs). Working in well-oiled teams, they cover the nation, reaching out to local and state officials to promote the programs. Each of these NGO groups has their own specific programs to promote, such as bike trails, conservation easements, or energy conservation, and they bring the grant programs with them for the local officials to apply. It's mostly done in backrooms, out of sight of the general public. Unseen hands dig in to decide the community's future.

A look into the workings at City Hall will reveal multiple NGOs and planners all working in lockstep behind closed doors, huddled with elected officials and planning departments to form a well-organized matrix that eventually morphs into the community long-range visioning plan.

As a result, there's a near endless number of programs and processes being used in cities across the nation to impose the plan. Most are funded by federal grants with specific strings attached, in particular from HUD, EPA and the Department of Transportation, that assure the sustainable policies are enforced.

DeWeese Report

Vol. 24, No. 2

Summer 2018

Published by
American Policy Center

Editor
Tom DeWeese

Graphics/Layout
Gold Dust Web Solutions

American Policy Center
53 Main Street Box 3640
Warrenton, VA 20188

Website:
americanpolicy.org

© 2018
American Policy Center
All Rights Reserved

Permission to photocopy,
reprint and quote articles
from the DeWeese
Report is hereby
granted, provided full
acknowledgment is
included. All reprinted
articles must say: "Written
by Tom DeWeese, Editor
of DeWeese Report (unless
another author is listed).

The sales pitch is for a perfect lifestyle in what they call healthy, happy communities -- where neighbors interact, parents play with their children, and there is no stress from long commutes because all the conveniences of living are just a walk down the street. It all sounds so warm and wonderful, creating images of a near Eighteenth-century atmosphere of peace and tranquility, yet with all the conveniences and technology of our modern age, leading toward a "sensible growth plan" for future development.

The main enemy of the dedicated Sustainablist is the automobile. To them "urban sprawl" is the breeder of cars. The sustainable planners, like the American Planning Association (APA), diligently devise new schemes to get people out of their cars as the first role of Smart Growth. That means the focus for future housing will be the establishment of high-density neighborhoods with residents living in high-rise condos. Walkable communities, as the Sustainablits call them, mean the use of private cars will be discouraged in favor of public transportation, bicycles, or walking.

How is that done? Higher taxes on cars and on gasoline – and there are now plans being developed in various states to tax every mile you drive. Your mileage is kept in the computers of today's cars, like the black boxes on airplanes. Mandatory auto inspections by the state will provide the opportunity to read that information, determine the number of miles driven and a bill will be sent to the car owner each year.

Smart Growth is being imposed on our cities in order to transform them into federally-controlled spheres. The programs used to achieve this dominion come in many names and forms. Each one is just a small piece of the whole puzzle. Perhaps as a single program, each could be harmless. However, fused together they become a threat that destroys private property, controls living habits and divides populations into specific categories making each more easily directed.

Here are a few examples:

Form-based code "is a means of regulating land development to achieve a specific urban form." According to their own literature, form-based code is a plan for regulating the form, scale and character of buildings. It coordinates floor area ratios, dwelling units per acre (Smart Growth pack-em and stack-em condos), parking ratios, and more. It assures all buildings are consistent and predictable as they are the same size and design, with the same setbacks, plantings and functions; in short, it prevents any distinctions in buildings. Basically, all communities will eventually look exactly alike. There's little room in Smart Growth cities for single-family homes.

What Works Cities are located in every region of the United States. This NGO operation supplies communities with workshops, training, data, and all the information needed to guide your city into the "right" programs that work. By adopting the WWC Standard, the community becomes part of a national network of local governments. In short, they will be fully invaded by armies of NGOs to help them "do it right!" Of course, all WWC policy is based on Sustainable Development programs.

New York City implemented what is called "progressive street projects." They built more than 400 miles of new bike lanes, and they created a massive pedestrian plaza in Times Square by closing five blocks of Broadway to cars.

The announced purpose was to "change the culture." The pedestrian plazas are placed in the center of what were once busy streets, blocking off traffic, and, again, making it difficult to drive in the city. One of the leaders of this project said, "*What we're trying to do is see equity of public space. When you build your streets for cars, you're actually building in the expectation that people are going to have cars.*" So, if you stop having streets, obviously people will stop wanting cars.

The increasing encroachment of

government regulations, pontificating politicians and the enforcement of Social Justice schemes have led to a loss of understanding of the terms private property and property rights. Once it was understood that the unauthorized entering of private property was a violation to the utmost. The property owner was justified and supported in taking necessary actions to remove the trespasser and secure his land.

Today, such ideas are considered radical, old fashioned, out of touch, and even reprehensible. The homeowner can be arrested for defending against an armed intruder who can actually sue a homeowner for shooting them even as they break down the door intending to rob and do harm. Home protection is called violence, perhaps even racism. It's a new world of compliance, fear, and acceptance rather than pride, protection, and prosperity in ownership.

If property ownership and control is to be restored to challenge the Sustainable assault, then a solid understanding and specific definition needs to be brought into the public debate. There is such a document, written by Washington State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Sanders in a "Fifth Amendment" treatise which included the following definition of property rights. It says:

"Property in a thing consists not merely in its ownership and possession, but in the unrestricted right of use, enjoyment, and disposal. Anything which destroys any of the elements of property, to that extent, destroys the property itself. The substantial value of property lies in its use. If the right of use be denied, the value of the property is annihilated and ownership is rendered a barren right."

This definition speaks specifically to the right of use of the property. It does not infringe on government's ability for local rule or to impose reasonable, legal zoning policy, so long as such policies recognize and protect the owner's use of their private property.

Under current policies property rights are being violated daily. Local governments are creating partnerships with private developers, using the powers of eminent domain to confiscate property for the building of private enterprises such as shopping malls, manufacturing plants, and housing developments with the express purpose of raising tax revenues. Governments at every level routinely trespass on private land to measure, photograph and map, with the express purpose of creating new regulations.

The very idea of "unrestricted right of use" by the property owner terrifies the powers in charge as they race to control every inch of land and its use. The result is that private property rights, according to Justice Sander's definition, have indeed become a "barren right."

Meanwhile, the economics of Smart Growth is sobering and hit few harder than the poor. As growth boundaries limit space, new home construction drives up housing prices beyond the reach of most.

For example, in Portland, Oregon, after decades of Smart Growth development, exorbitant living costs have driven over 10,000 minority families out of their urban homes. The city recently announced a new regulation to force homeowners to have the government conduct Home Energy Surveys to force compliance with Sustainable energy regulations before their homes can be put on the market for sale. Meanwhile, homebuilders are vastly reducing plans for building new homes because Portland is running out of buildable land, even though outside the tightly controlled urban growth boundary there is plenty. As the population continues to grow, home availability will disappear. High-rise condos will become smaller until they are little more than dormitories.

Private property ownership and the right to its unrestricted use are vital to the preservation of freedom, prosperity and independence. It's urgent that every American fully understand the purpose and recognize the players in their local planning schemes before our entire society is completely transformed to their agenda.

Tom DeWeese is president of the American Policy Center and author of *Sustainable: The WAR on Free Enterprise, Private Property, and Individuals*. www.americanpolicy.org

DO YOU WANT TO SHAKE UP THIS ELECTION?

By Tom DeWeese

Property rights are hardly ever talked about during election campaigns, even though local governments are:

- grabbing private land acres at a time
- bull dozing whole neighborhoods with eminent domain
- banning single family homes
- and threatening landlords if they simply ask prospective renters if they can afford to rent their property

The bottom line is that private property rights have no voice in our elections! I'm ready to change that! Are you? How do we do that? Easy. I have prepared a "Candidate's Promise to Protect Citizen's Property Rights."

The Candidate's Promise is very simple. It has a very specific definition of property rights – written by a state Supreme Court Justice. Under that definition there is one question – do they support property rights as defined. Right now candidates want and need your support. In fact, election time is the only time most really care about what you want or need. So, now is the time to apply pressure when you have the advantage.

Here are two ways you can use this Candidates Promise.

First, print out copies of the Candidates Promise (as provided at the end of this article). Make a copy for each candidate you want to approach. Then when you meet your candidates in person and they are shaking your hand or appearing at a public forum – simply present them with the Candidate's Promise and ask them to sign it. If they refuse, then you know they won't support private property rights. However, if they do sign it then you have a powerful tool in your hand once they get elected.

Of course, there are lots of candidates and getting the opportunity to meet each one is a difficult task. So the second way to approach candidates is by email. Simply send a copy of the Candidates Promise directly to your local candidates. In fact, if several people in the community were to send the document to their candidates, that's even better. It will help build pressure to make property rights a major issue in the campaign. Local activists can make it a project.

Together, using this tool, you and I are going to finally take the forgotten issue of Private Property Rights an force it into major issue status across the nation. To achieve that we have to start putting elected officials' feet to the fire. They must start to answer the question -- do they or do they not support your right to be secure in your home, free of the fear that private developers and greedy politicians are going to take it at their whim? Agenda 21 tyranny over our property must be stopped.

So now – stand up – use this new tool I've created and take the property rights fight directly to those who want to represent you in government. Do they support property rights or not? Now is the time to find out.

Here are the two documents for you to use in this effort. The first is a sample letter to send to your candidates. It explains the issue and the purpose of the Candidate's Promise. The second document is the actual Candidates Promise for them to sign. Just print out a copy and start applying pressure!

Special message to include with the Candidate's Promise to Protect Citizen's Property Rights

Dear (Candidate's name)

I have attached a "Candidate's Promise to Protect Citizen's Property Rights" which I am asking you to sign to show your support for this vital issue.

I'm sure you are aware that private property is vital to our prosperity and our freedom. Yet property rights are endangered by many government planning projects. I believe protection of private property must be a first priority as such planning projects are designed and promoted. Do you?

If so, I ask you to make a strong stand for the defense of property rights by signing the attached Candidates Pledge. The definition provided was written by a state Supreme Court Justice.

Please sign and return it to me. I will then help spread the word that, if elected, you will be a reliable defender of property rights.

Sincerely,

(Your name)

Candidate's Promise to Protect Citizen's Property Rights

I support this definition of private property rights: "Property in a thing consists not merely in its ownership and possession, but in the unrestricted right of use, enjoyment, and disposal. Anything which destroys any of the elements of property to that extent, destroys the property itself. The substantial value of property lies in its use. If the right of use be denied, the value of the property is annihilated and ownership is rendered a barren right." Written by Washington State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Sanders (Fifth Amendment Treatise, 1997)

These are positions I pledge to promote and uphold for private property rights if I am elected to the position I seek.

Name _____

Signed _____ Date _____

Candidate for _____

IT'S NOT TRUE!

By Tom DeWeese

Too many pro-liberty Americans believe we can't win – that we can't stop the Left's drive to destroy our freedoms.

It's not true – not if we stand and fight. Victories are taking place across the nation. In just the past couple of weeks activists have risen up in the property rights fight:

- First, activists in Idaho are already using a version of APC's Candidate's Promise to Protect Citizen's Property Rights to pressure candidates on the campaign trail.
- I just returned from a speaking trip in Michigan. A local activist is going to use APC's "Resolution for the Protection of Citizen's Property Rights" to prepare a resolution for his township planning commission to make property rights protection the first order of business in making new planning projects for the community.
- Just a few weeks ago I was contacted by a property assessor who is ready to blow the whistle on corruption in her county that is using property tax assessments to manipulate property values and raise taxes as a means to move owners off their land. She read APC materials and learned that this is Agenda 21.
- I've been invited to address an association of land lords who have now begun to understand that their industry is being destroyed through massive regulations that dictate how their properties must be built using international building codes. Landlords are now being told that they aren't even allowed to ask if potential renters can afford to pay for their properties. Now they understand that they are targets of Agenda 21. They sought me out to address their 1200 member state association. That has never happened before.
- A city council in a small town in Alabama just voted 7-0 to stop an Agenda 21 inspired Eco-tourism scheme that would have destroyed property rights and local industry. Local activists used APC materials to educate the Mayor and council members
- Meanwhile, APC's reach has grown internationally. In Denmark, activists have discovered our writings and DVDs and are sharing them with elected officials. Other APC materials are about to be translated into Portuguese and made available to activists in Brazil.

These are just some of the incredible battles I and the American Policy Center are helping to fight, now internationally. Our battle is growing and APC is the recognized leader which desperate Americans are turning to for help.

This is what the American Policy Center is all about. This is what I do! I travel and speak. I write. I alert. I teach. APC has produced some of the most effective tools to help local activists learn the truth about Agenda 21 and take action to stop it.

For the first time in my twenty year fight to stop Agenda 21 and its policy called Sustainable Development, I am getting phone calls and letters from local officials asking for help to fight the NGO forces.

Don't look down in defeat – stand strong with me in this battle to protect and save property rights.

TOM DEWEESE'S BEST SELLING BOOK

SUSTAINABLE THE WAR ON FREE ENTERPRISE, PRIVATE PROPERTY AND INDIVIDUALS

The American system of free enterprise, private property ownership and individual liberty is under attack by a political force that, while plainly out in the open for all to see, is little understood and mostly ignored. Yet an army of private non-governmental organizations (NGOs), city planners and federal agencies have teamed up specifically to change human society under a banner called Sustainable Development. It is gaining power in every state, county and community under the false threat of Environmental Armageddon, demanding that we completely reorganize our economic system, our representative form of government and our individual lifestyle.

While termed in positive sounding purposes, in reality Sustainable policy imposes massive government regulations enforced through state and local governments. These policies place severe restrictions on energy and water use. Development schemes seek to ban the use of cars, instead forcing ridership on massively expensive and inconvenient public transportation systems. Meanwhile, so-called "Visioning" programs follow enforcement of international policies to reorganize communities into a one-size-fits-all straightjacket.

In the rural areas such policies are purposely designed to make it nearly impossible to live on the land, leading to the destruction of the ranching and timber industries. In the cities, the policy known as Smart Growth is using federal grant money and public/private partnerships between developers and government officials to impose eminent domain, destroying private ownership. In the inner cities development policies routinely bulldoze low income and ethnic neighborhoods. These are replaced with expensive high-rise condos which the original residents can't afford, forcing them into government housing and federal welfare programs from which there is no escape and no hope of building a life of their own.

Private property ownership is the single most effective tool to eradicate poverty, yet it is being systematically eliminated under these programs. For homeowners across the nation, property rights have been reduced to the obligation to pay taxes and the mortgage, while nearly every other decision about the use of the property is made by a government agency. Without the right of unrestricted use, property ownership becomes a barren right. Individual choice is eliminated by the dictates of the collective and free enterprise is replaced by partnerships between government and huge corporations. Those private corporations then use their tight connections with government to help eliminate competition, all under the innocent sounding excuse of Sustainable Development.

In Sustainable, author Tom DeWeese clearly makes the case that such policies are a war on free enterprise, private property ownership, and individual choice.

**LEARN MORE AT SUSTAINABLEDEVELOPMENT.COM
OR CALL (844) 287-0895**

